

John G. Roberts, Jr., Chief Justice of the United States  
Clarence Thomas, Associate Justice  
Samuel A. Alito, Jr., Associate Justice  
Sonia Sotomayor, Associate Justice  
Elena Kagan, Associate Justice  
Neil M. Gorsuch, Associate Justice  
Brett M. Kavanaugh, Associate Justice  
Amy Coney Barrett, Associate Justice  
Ketanji Brown Jackson, Associate Justice

Date:

United States Supreme Court  
1 First St NE  
Washington, DC 20543

Dear Chief Justice Roberts and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court:

I am petitioning the Court that you hear, adjudicate and, if appropriate, sentence the defendants in *Raland J. Brunson v. Alma S. Adams, et, al.*, docket No. 22-380.

This is a critical case that summarizes many issues for the United States with regards to respect for the Constitution and for the Oath of Office that our officials take when sworn into office. Many of these officials take both their allegiance to the Constitution and their Oath of Office lightly. A ruling on this, could make all of them much more careful about our Constitution, their Oath of Office and their responsibilities as government officials to the citizenry that elected them.

This was a serious breach of National Security. Two years later, we understand clearly that there are many questions surrounding how many of our states execute their elections. These defendants rebuffed any suggestion to at least review the issues, thus foisting their choice for President on us. If not remedied, this will recur in perpetuity as the elected officials will feel that they have no accountability for their actions. This is how we've ended up with a vote like this about not investigating an election.

These defendants are, like each one of us, responsible for their actions and should be treated equal before the law. Each of us, in our daily lives, takes risks making decisions with everything we do, from driving our cars to operating our businesses. We accept the liability of living a free life where we are responsible for what we do and what our actions cause. Ordinary people are sued every day for traffic accidents and legal work responsibilities. This is no different.

Treating these defendants with the object principal of justice is something that we feel must be exercised. The remedy that the defendants will be permanently barred from public office is only appropriate for the magnitude and effect of this crime. This crime of not following the Constitution and the laws of the United States and forcing the defendant's choice of who is President of the United States on all citizens is clearly treasonous. It is, as if a warring faction placed a candidate into the Office of the Presidency. The economic penalty should be a reminder that this is a serious offense and a warning to all future persons that try to interfere in our free and fair elections.

Since these conflicts involve both the Executive and Legislative branches of our country, this is not something a lower Court should be ruling on. I believe that only the Supreme Court has the supervisory power to adjudicate a case like this.

This is not a case that the Supreme Court can acquiesce to others for a decision. Accept this case for hearing and accept your responsibility to adjudicate this case and determine the appropriate punishments for the defendants that is equitable for not only the plaintiff, but all the citizens of the United States.

This is an emergency for us. It is highly probable that the defendants have foisted on us, the citizens of the United States, their pick, not ours, for President of the United States and this must be remedied immediately.

God Bless America,