

The Brunson brothers case, RALAND J BRUNSON, Petitioner, v. ALMA S. ADAMS, et, al., Respondents, is being reviewed for Hearing by SCOTUS on January 6, 2023. It is a case regarding the refusal of 385 US Congressmen and Senators to vote to investigate the 2020 election. This occurred after 100 Congressmen and Senators spoke on the floor of the House and the Senate stating they had serious issues with voting in their states. January 6, 2020, was probably the height of TDS (Trump Derangement Syndrome – general hatred of all things Trump, including election fraud accusations) within the Congress, thus McConnell, Rand Paul, Marco Rubio, Mike Lee (from Nebraska – Deb Fisher, Ben Sasse, Don Bacon and Jeff Fortenberry also voted to not follow the law) and many other Republicans all voted to not investigate and are on the list of Defendants with every single Democrat in the House or Senate.

The suit is not about the election, it is about the refusal of Congressmen to conduct a 10-day review of the election, in opposition to both public law and the Constitution, something they had sworn to uphold with their Oath of Office. This was defined by a simple public vote to not follow the law. It was easy to tell, who supported it and who did not as the vote is part of the Congressional record. The plaintiffs (Brunson), in this case, declare this effort “to not follow the Constitution” as something that also acquired other “domestic enemies” of the Constitution with supporters for the Congressional decision to not investigate. These other people are also “treasonous domestic enemies” for not wanting to support the Constitution of the United States. This includes the Vice President (Pence at the time who was presiding the Senate at the time of the vote and went along), the soon to be inaugurated President (Biden) and Vice-President (Harris) and over 100 people in the Media speaking in support of the Congressional voters.

The logic is this. The constitution states that we will have fair and free elections and no law may be written that supersedes the Constitution. The Congress voted to not follow a law by their vote not to follow federal law. The law states that, should credible evidence of election fraud be presented, 100 Congressmen speaking on the floor of Congress represents credible evidence, a 10 day investigation should be completed. Congress has written laws in the past that they are not liable to follow any laws they write and thus thought that if they voted to not follow the law that was good enough. The Constitution specifically states no law may be written counter to the Constitution, thus the Congressional immunity law does not apply when it talks about election reviews to assure a free and fair election. Thus, their vote to not follow this law, derived from the Constitution requiring free and fair elections, is not allowed.

Domestic enemies attacking, and not wanting to follow the Constitution, are defined in the Constitution as criminals committing Treason. Thus, the Congressmen that advocated to not follow the Constitution are treasonous criminals, as defined and sentenced by the Constitution, and anyone that supports them is also a treasonous criminal not supporting the Constitution. “Anyone that supports them” includes the President of the Senate that day of the vote, Vice President Pence as well as President Biden and Vice-President Harris that accepted the offices. In addition, the media or anyone that advocating the Congress’ vote are also complicit. This includes our media that advocated publicly that the vote was the correct way to go.

The Constitution states exactly what the criminal penalties are for Treason: DEATH OR no less than 5 years in prison; AND no less than a \$10,000 fine; AND permanent disbarment from holding ANY office in the United States at the Federal, State or local level. (Yes, the Supreme Court would sentence any guilty parties, but these are the minimum sentencing guidelines.)

Imagine that right now, the Supreme Court could send 72% of Congress, the President and the last 2 Vice Presidents to Federal prison for 5 years. This is not a fantasy. This is a complex, but very real, reality due to the way the Constitution is written. In addition, anyone finding this not fair and does not support this, is also “a domestic enemy” of the United States and should they act on their beliefs, as they will be considered a traitorous enemy of the United States.

Then, the Solicitor General of the United States, who is the defense attorney for the defendants, after request by SCOTUS, refused to put up a defense. None of the lower Courts would defend what the defendants did or found anything they did illegal, they simply referred them to a higher court. In both cases, if they did put up a defense, they could be defending criminals committing Treason and may be labeled as part of the criminal conspiracy.

Even for the SCOTUS - they have to be very careful, or should they support the treasonous defendants in their efforts to not follow the Constitution, they can thus be found to be treasonous co-conspirators as well.

Just when you think, this can't be possible. Remember, the ONLY reason the Supreme Court is seriously considering hearing this case is because the Clerk of the Court (Most senior lawyer working in the Supreme Court supporting the justices) personally reviewed and revised this petition because it was a "Writ of Mandamus" petition from non-lawyer citizens. Under the Clerk of the Supreme Court's advocacy, the suit is scheduled for a review for hearing.

In this case this suit is a Writ of Mandamus. All courts are recommended that they support the citizens in their suits against the government even if they see flaws, as the Supreme Law of the land, the Constitution, says that all citizens have the right to petition their government. The first court they took their suit to, the judge spent weeks helping them arrange their document. In fact, he was the one that recommended that they do it as a Writ of Mandamus. The Brunson brothers feel that this re-write helped with the logic that has the other courts side stepping and the Supreme Court stepping up to hear the case. They feel strongly that this all has been miraculous intervention by God.

In addition, the Brunson Brothers have, as part of this suit, personal suits on every individual person to pay compensation to the plaintiffs. \$50M per senior leadership of the House and Senate and \$25M per each other defendant, Senate, House, President, VP's, and each media member that supported the treasonous congressmen publicly. The Supreme Court would deliberate and decide what is fair compensation.

BTW, no suit, out of dozens of suits, by President Trump or Mike Lindell spending millions of dollars on Constitutional lawyers has made it to a "Review for Hearing by the Supreme Court". At this time all 9 Supreme Court justices will review both the Brunson plea along with the response of the 10th Circuit Court of appeals since the Solicitor General did not offer a defense. This is now scheduled for January 6, 2023. They will then decide to actually "hear", in this case it means "try", the case. If 4 of them say yes, the Supreme Court will hear the case. Because this case has such significant consequences, they will then most likely try the case within 60 days (this is immediately, for the SCOTUS, as both the plaintiffs and defense will need time to prepare for court, but the country needs a result as soon as possible.)

Nebraska Voter Accuracy Project is supporting a nationwide letter writing campaign to the SCOTUS encouraging them to Hear the case. It's an exciting opportunity for you to petition our government and support this important case that will remind ALL of our politicians that they have to follow their oath of office and cannot pretend to follow the laws while advocating the opposite. You can bet that State election officials will get this message – clearly, that they need to pay attention to both petitions from their citizens and to the Constitution of the United States. They are simply too cavalier about their oaths of office and what they do and don't do.

We think the United States needs a wakeup call, this could be that call.